No. 27 November 1969

Spearhead

one shilling

WELCOME SPRINGBOK SPORTSMEN!

Nationalist comment

WHAT WE THINK

on the month's news

Welcome Springboks!

The great majority of British people warmly welcome South African sportsmen to this country.

The great majority of British people feel nothing but disgust at the antics of the few lunatics who are determined to disrupt the events in which these sportsmen take part.

We share this disgust, and we extend it to the politicians and pressmen who have tacitly given the green light of approval to the disrupters. We extend it also to those representatives of officialdom, sporting and otherwise, who, while in their hearts being against the disrupters, have not the guts to do otherwise than tamely capitulate to their threats in cancelling sporting fixtures.

Just what case have the disrupters to offer? They have none.

These very same people have done nothing to oppose sporting contacts with the barbarous regimes behind the Iron Curtain, regimes which were responsible for the invasion of Czechoslovakia.

They claim of course that this is not a matter connected with sport, that there is no injustice in the sporting policies of the communist countries.

Isn't there? Are they aware that the communists forbade East Germans to compete with West Germans in the same team in the recent European Games, although they belong to the same nation? Are they aware that the organisers of the games forbade a runner, Jurgen May, now living in West Germany, to compete for that country —because he was born in East Germany? Are they aware that athletes from communist lands compete in world sport on fraudulent terms—because they are effectively professionals, only occupying nominal jobs outside sport?

These things are generally known, but our protesters decline to protest about them.

Who in fact do the protesters represent and what are their motives? Leading the campaign in Britain is Peter Hain. From press reports the public know little of him.

What is known about Hain is that he recently wrote an article in a Liberal Party newspaper in fulsome praise of John Harris, the communist who killed an old woman and maimed a young girl by letting off a bomb in a Johannesburg railway station.

A. A. DEMONSTRATORS
A Despised Minority

Such are the credentials of the man who is now appealing to people's 'human decency' as part of his campaign to outlaw South Africa in sport.

He and the scum who collaborate with him should be resisted by the most virile rugby methods on every playing field in Britain that they soil by their presence.

Police Strike Danger

A new and alarming phenomenon has appeared in Canada, which should be a warning to us all.

Recently the Montreal Police went on a one-day strike. Needless to say, no building in the city that day was safe from burning and looting. No public place was safe for people to walk. The criminal community had twenty-four hours free hand.

Just think of the opportunities for anyone who wanted to start a revolution.

With extreme left-wing agitators active in every public institution today, here as well as in Canada, and with 'liberal' attitudes prevailing amongst so many of those responsible for law and order, this could happen again quite easily and on a mammoth scale. While these termites are allowed the freedom to flourish, there can be no real national security of any kind.

Enemy in the Rear

The huge moratorium organised throughout the United States against the Vietnam war and the spineless way in which the Nixon administration has surrendered to the press-

SPEARHEAD

Published in support of the NATIONAL FRONT

Published monthly. Editor: **John Tyndall**; Asst. Editor: **Martin Webster**; Design: **Peter Mc Menemie**. *Editorial Office:* ***The Nationalist Centre, 10 Birkbeck Hill, London S.E. 21.*** *Telephone: 01–670 0118.*

MILITANT LEFT AND FLABBY RIGHT

A SMALL minority of despised trouble-makers cause havoc with law and order on the sports grounds of Britain. The same minority at universities is able by its violent behaviour to bring free speech to an end.

This is the militant Left in Britain today. Few people support it. Most detest it. But it gets its way. Time and time again, it gets its way.

It does so because of the incredible flabbiness and apathy of those who should be acting to stop it. For years it has been the same. The subversives and trouble-makers win the day because their adversaries have quit the field. This is how great states are toppled. It happened in Russia. It could happen here.

There are many who urge us to support 'right-wing' bodies who never demonstrate, who conduct their meetings behind sealed doors and who never get smeared or assaulted. We decline. These bodies represent the soft underbelly of established society that has long ago capitulated from its responsibility to lead and govern.

We support only people who are as militant and uncompromising in their stand against the Left as the Left is itself. Such people alone can save Britain today. In the National Front alone among political bodies do we see this spirit prevail.

ures it represented is a frightening indication of the weakness of Western liberal democracy.

The communist powers do not on paper have the industry, the technology or sufficient number of literate, intelligent people to seriously challenge the great Western nations.

But in practical conflict they have one priceless advantage. They have but one enemy to fight—the enemy in front of them. And in this fight they can mobilise with one will all their human resources against the enemy.

The 'civilised' Western nations have to fight two enemies: one in front, one in the rear. The enemy in the rear is the 'liberal' movement: the massed motley of pacifists, 'comsymps', anarchists and freaks of every description that the foes of the Western nations seem to be able to muster in every major Western city.

Is it any wonder that the Reds are happy to encourage 'liberalism' in the West and identify themselves with it! As long as we are soft-headed liberals we will shirk from taking the necessary measures to eradicate the fifth column which paralyses the national will to victory, whether it be in the United States or here.

Another Hoggism

Said Quintin Hogg at a meeting in Harrogate recently:-

"The ugly fact remains that under Labour all the moral values which mark out a civilised society from one that is decadent have suffered a serious decline."

So it all began in 1964, did it, Mr. Hogg?

Sense from Rhodesia

Over the past four years Rhodesia has done more than just give us a lesson on how to conduct race relations. She has taught us lessons in down-to-earth economics.

When sanctions began to be applied, the Rhodesians were forced to start producing for themselves many of the goods which they had been accustomed to importing. This led to a shaking of the heads of the orthodox economists, for it challenged the almost universal superstition that a nation cannot be prosperous except when enmeshed in the jungle of international trade and in pawn to international financiers.

Latest reports confirm that Rhodesia's economy is booming. The need for self-sufficiency has not impoverished her; it has made her stronger.

Our opinion is that this very fact is as much the cause of rage on the part of the internationalists as Rhodesia's racial policies. The more that Rhodesia shows that a nationally oriented economic system can work, the more will be the determination to crush her.

But this will not succeed. Her spirit is too great to be crushed.

Latest from Enoch

While on the subject of Rhodesia, readers might be interested to hear the latest statement in Parliament from Enoch Powell, which we reproduce below:-

HANSARD

THURSDAY 16th OCTOBER 1969

5.6 p.m.

Mr. J. Enoch Powell (Wolverhampton, South-West):

The hon. Member for Croydon, South invited me to say in this House what I have said on the subject outside the House. I shall say something that I have said emphatically over and over again outside the House, and which has often not been to the liking of my hearers. It is that in my place in this House I could not vote for any legislation which would legalise a constitution for Southern Rhodesia under which there would not be evident and relatively early advance to majority rule. I would not quibble with the formula of the hon. Member for Ebbw Vale (Mr. Michael Foot). The reality is quite plain, with whatever words we may seek to clothe it. I do not believe that it could be to the honour, or consistent with the principles, of this House to legislate for any constitution which did not do that.

Although this is normally a column of comment, comment in this case is absolutely superfluous.

The Bloom Affair

"Champagne toast for two"—these were the headlines that greeted the ending of the Rolls Razor saga, featuring East End whizz-kid John Bloom, who rocketed to millionairedom selling cut-price washing machines on the doorstep. As everyone knows, Mr. Bloom's firm crashed, thousands of investors lost great sums of money and Bloom himself was faced with charges of fraud and conspiracy.

After a long sitting at the Old Bailey, he emerged last month smiling broadly. Which was not surprising—he had got off with a mild wigging from the Judge and fines totalling £30,000.

Not surprising either that he was later photographed with his wife celebrating with champagne. Notwithstanding all the ruin that was left in their wake, they still possess combined assets of £146,000. As Bloom remarked to a reporter, "I feel I can always make a living. You know me, I've always got by."

As for the £30,000? Mrs. Bloom will meet most of it by selling her jewellery. How nice!

The wigging from the Judge? He told Bloom: "No doubt you have learned that the worship of the golden calf is as idolatrous and evil today as it was in ancient times."

One hopes for the Judge's sake that this comment will not be taken as a veiled insult worthy of prosecution under the Race Relations Act!

Meanwhile, Bloom himself must be laughing. As the saying goes, laughing all the way to the bank.

Frost Propaganda

David Frost continues to justify the glowing reputation he holds among left-wing progressives in this country.

Presiding over a recent discussion on hanging, he had Canon Collins and Humphrey Berkely arguing the anti-hanging case against Duncan Sandys. After Sandys, albeit in a most feeble manner, had produced clear evidence that murders had been on the increase since hanging ended, our Mr. Frost then decided to combat facts and figures with pure emotionalism, and to that end introduced two witnesses to tell heart-rending personal tales about executions. One was the father of convicted murderer Derek Bentley, the other was a prison chaplain. By the time these two had finished, some of us might have been excused weeping.

We all then braced ourselves to hear two witnesses who would, in the same emotional atmosphere, put the opposite point of view, and the most likely people to do this seemed to be parents of one or other of the several children that have been murdered in recent years, for instance those who were victims of the infamous Moors Murders. We were disappointed. After Bentley Senior and the chaplain had said their piece, Mr. Frost brought the discussion to an end. Another democratic debate (I. T. V. style) had terminated.

LOONEY LOANS (Part 1)

Because British industry cannot, due to Government restrictions, raise loans from British banks, it simply borrows from foreign banks. These foreign loans have increased by 49 per-cent in the past year to £525 million. This sum, plus the huge rates of interest, joins our balance of payments deficit. Government restrictions on loans from British banks were designed to solve the balance of payments problem.

LOONEY LOANS (Part 2)

Without being invited, the nationalised industries accept loans from the British taxpayer. As the rate of interest is much lower than on loans from orthodox sources, they have a great advantage. Partly due to industrial difficulties but mainly due to incompetence, inefficiency and stupidity, the 'public sector' manages to make a big loss. However, this loss does not include repayment of loans, because those losses are simply written off. In the past five years, two-thousand-million pounds have been written off — on behalf of nationalised industries.

GOOD NEWS FOR GRAFFITI FANS

Despite 25 years of race-mixing propaganda from the Labour, Liberal and Tory parties, the press, radio and television, university lecturers and other disreputable bodies, the British people refuse to mend their racialist ways. Now advertising big boys have been approached with a view to launching a huge advertising campaign to promote race relations. Indian race relations promotor Dipak Nandy was, however, uncertain of the form it should take. "Tell people that coloureds are human and that they've got two legs," he suggests. The proposed bill of £300,000 would of course be met by the British taxpayer.

DRUGS MUGS

Thanks to the stupidity of liberal dogooders, drug addiction centres, ostensibly set up to cure addiction, have instead aggravated the problem. A West London magistrate recently described such centres as "stock exchanges for drugs" where junkies, besides getting a fix, can find and exchange the latest information on where extra drugs are available.

JUSTICE

A two-year-old coloured girl refused to accept her natural mother's black face after being returned from a white foster-mother.

PETER McMENEMIE
WILLIAM PALMER

FRONT FACTS

She became 'naughty' and her mother, West Indian Mary Harrison of Reading, battered her to death. "I can remember the days when a case such as yours would have led to a very long term of imprisonment," commented a nostalgic judge, irrelevantly it seems, as he sentenced the mother to three years probation.

CULTURE

"Minor musical miracles for combs, cardboard, paper and bins" — a B.B.C. musical appreciation programme for schools last month.

VIOLIN MUSIC

Would Mr. Robert Maxwell please accept the sympathy of this column on his recent and distressing departure from the board of Pergamon Press. It seems that loyalty counts for nothing these days: all people are interested in is money.

THE IRRELEVANCE OF BEING ARTHUR BOTTOMLEY

Comic opera Cabinet Minister, Arthur Bottomley, speaking (a painful experience) at a recent meeting on the subject of Ulster, explained that Eire Premier Jack Lynch was qiote right in saying that the problem could not be solved while the border existed. Mr. Bottomley was speaking at a meeting of the Indian Workers' Association in Southall.

OBSCENE LANGUAGE

Anti-immigration moderates have always stressed the importance of not closing Britain's doors to foreign students. After all, where else could they get a first class education? The standards of our educational facilities were seen at a Midlands technical college recently, where 28 students passed examinations in Persian — from all accounts a difficult language to master. The 28 students, regrettably, were all Persians.

A TALE OF TWO DEVELOPMENT AREAS

Despite a record 600,000 unemployed, Government aid to the development areas is being cut by 25 per-cent. Overseas aid to the 'developing countries' meanwhile will not be cut and stands at £230 million a year.

EYE, EYE, THAT'S YER LOT

An alarming increase in the incidence of trachoma, an alien eye disease which results in frightful discharges and ultimate blindness, has been recorded in the Wolverhampton area over the past four years. According to the British Medical Journal, it is due mainly to Punjabi immigrants

NASH versus TRASH

Complete with tall minaret, wailing mussulman, and a dome large enough to accomodate 1,000 of the faithful, a mosque is to be built in Regents Park, London. The designer claims that even though it will dominate the whole area, it should blend well with its surrounds. The surrounds are the Nash Terraces.

SHOTGUN FUNERAL

Disarmament of the Ulster Special Constabulary would appear to be rather less important on examination. In urban areas the U.S.C. is equipped with Webley .455 revolvers dated 1917: in rural areas with bolt action .303 rifles also of First World War vintage. Where possible, urban Specials are supplied with 15 rounds of ammunition and rural Specials with a five-round clip, although in many cases this is not possible as obsolete ammunition is difficult to come by. Whether or not the IRA has held talks with the British Army would also appear irrelevant, as none of the latest Czech and American automatic weapons with which the IRA is equipped have been surrendered.

GOD IS ALIVE AND WELL AND LIVING IN MULTI-RACIAL BLISS

Religious education in schools is racial discrimination of course, and the Community Rleations Commission has launched a campaign against the teaching of Christianity as "it would disrupt the community spirit of the school by accentuating the differences between members." The Commission sees the answer as being to embrace alien faiths. Active in the preparation of the Commission's report was the British Council of Churches Education Department.

ANSWER TO THE SMEAR-MONGERS

THIS LETTER WAS PUBLISHED IN THE HORNSEY JOURNAL

Sir,—Opponents of the National Front have tried to infer that I attempt to hide the fact that I was, some seven years ago, a member of the National Socialist Movement. This is not so. During a recent BBC "World At One" interview, I stated: "I joined the NSM when I was 19 years of age and left when I was 21. I have been aware for some years what a great mistake that association was. I can only say that it was brought about by youthful inexperience and misdirected enthusiasm. It is quite common for people passionately interested in politics to "sow wild oats" in their early years. This phenomenon is seen just as much on the left as the right. Reasonable people accept this and judge me by what I say and do today, not what I said and did seven years ago."

I think this point could be usefully amplified. If opponents of the National Front feel justified in smearing the movement as being "Nazi" on the basis of the past associations of some of its officials, then by the same token, it would be "reasonable" to suggest that the Labour Party is under Communist influence, as a significant number of Labour Party leaders were at one time card carrying members of the Communist Party.

However, I recognise that such an allegation would be totally unreasonable. Politics involves the interaction of people on ideas and ideas on people. People are fallible and are entitled to change their minds. Only the pathological or the dull hold fixed opinions throughout the whole of their lives. I am quite sure that if I ever did try to label the Labour Party as being a "Red Front" on account of the past Communist associations of some of its leadership, then the same people who have attempted to smear the National Front as being "Nazi" would write letters to you denouncing me for employing "the discredited tactics of the late Senator McCarthy." The double-think and intellectual gymnastics of the left are a joy to behold!

The National Front is a party of converts. Its left wing opponents only draw attention to those of its officials who have experimented with the extremist right They ignore the fact that it has made converts from all sections of the "Body Politic." Our national industrial affairs director was a district committee member of the Communist Party.

One of our student organisers was until last year a member of the national executive committee of the Socialist Party of Great Britain. Our South Wales area organiser was for some years, leader of the Liberal Party Group on Cardiff City Council. Our South West London area organiser was employed for some years as a Labour Party agent in that district. A number of our other officials have been prominent provincial organisers of the Conservative Party.

It is the ability of the National Front to draw together decent and able people from all sections of Britain's political life which make it not merely dynamic, but refreshingly new. It is not we, but our opponents who are chained to the tatty old ideologies of the 1930's. It is because we are able to effectively challenge the meaningless and fraudulent left wing/right wing set up with our policy of "Put Britain First" that we are on the receiving end of so much hate and misrepresentation from those who have a vested interest in keeping the British people divided.

Attempts to describe such of our senior members as A. K. Chesterton as "a Fascist" are ludicrous. He saw front line service in both World Wars and is holder of the Military Cross. His record of service in defending Britain against totalitarian influences compares very well when set against the self-styled "anti-Fascists"—many of whom at best can claim only blanket folding sores and typewriter wounds, while others, when it came to the real test, found themselves to be "conscientious objectors."

Allegations that "four members of the National Front" were arrested for throwing a petrol bomb at a synagogue are misleading. Two of the persons accused of involvement in the attack (the case has not yet come up for trial) were National Front members. The other two are supporters of an organisation with which the NF has no connection. Because of the factor of "sub judice" the two NF members were expelled on account of their using their membership of the movement in order to further the cause of a proscribed organisation. The attempt to associate the policies of the National Front with the outlook of persons it was obliged to expel because of their activities, was illogical, unfair and defamatory.

I hope these comments, will enable you and your readers to see through the dust which opponents of the National Front have thrown up in order to distract attention from its case. The "smear by association" tactic does not answer our case—it is merely a disreputable propaganda technique.

If our case was so obviously wrong, if we are such wicked people, then our opponents—such as the Communist Party — should welcome the chance of debating with us in public, in order that we could be exposed. But they do not. Two months ago, an official of the Young Communist League accepted a challenge to engage in public debate with younger members of the National Front. He promised to write within a few days in order to finalise arangements. Since then, we have heard nothing further from him. When I approached the International Secretary about this lapse of courtesy, he replied: "I am not surprised that you have not heard from him. These things have to be approved from above. It is not party policy to debate with the National Front."

So there we have it. Our opponents are afraid to challenge our ideas in open public debate. They work by means of character assassination, smear, lie, innuendo and even physical violence — as evidenced by the recent axemen attack on Caxton Hall, prior to one of our public meetings. They bay the cowardly malevolence of the jackal.—**Martin Webster, Activities Organiser, National Front, Palace Chambers, Bridge Street, SW1.**

BE A SPEARHEAD SUBSCRIBER

Ensure that you always get *Spearhead* promptly and regularly by becoming a subscriber. Fill in your name and address below and send it, with the required subscription, to: *The Circulation Manager, Spearhead, 10 Birkbeck Hill, London S. E. 21.*

Name ______________________________

Address ______________________________

____

Copy to be sealed / unsealed. Enclosed subscription of ____________

RATES (12 issues): British Isles and Commonwealth: 18s.; Foreign: 18s. (unsealed), 31s. (sealed). 3 Copies: Brit. and Comm.: 46/6d.; Foreign: 46/6d. (unsealed), 73s. (sealed). 5 Copies: Brit. and Comm.: 77s.; Foreign: 77s. (unsealed), 133s. (sealed).

JOHN TYNDALL

ANATOMY OF A PACIFIST

JONATHAN KING.

READERS of the *Sunday Mirror* during recent weeks will no doubt be aware of the pronouncements of a certain Mr Jonathan King on the subject of war and war heroes.

The young Mr. King (he is 24 and described as a singer. journalist and broadcaster) sparked off no small controversy when he wrote, with reference particularly to the recently released film "The Battle of Britain", that his generation was bored with the heroics of the last war and that, since war was immoral, he regarded as immoral all attempts to glamourise war exploits by means of films and other media.

As might have been expected, Mr. King received a large volume of letters from ex-servicemen expressing their opinions of him in the most outspoken terms. One, from a reader in Wanstead, seemed to hit just the appropriate note; it said: "As the years roll by, it is becoming painfully obvious that the five years many thousands of men spent in helping to keep the Jonathan Kings of this world safe were just a sheer waste of bloody time."

This and other such letters the Sunday Mirror published, while describing them as "vicious and vitriolic". At the same time it took care to publish alongside them a collection of letters in praise of King under the title: "What the young people say". This conveniently segregated the differing points of view on a basis of age groups, and carried with it the obvious inference that pride in war heroism should be regarded as old fashioned and that 'anti-war' thinking is youthful and modern.

CULT

Mr. Jonathan King himself is a figure of absolutely no importance, and his views on matters of war and peace would not be in the least bit worth commenting on if it weren't for the fact that they are symbolic of a cult that is rife today, if not among the younger generation as a whole, then certainly among large numbers of young people with pretensions to 'education'.

I call them the generation that has invented peace.

In song, in prose, by sit down and through a wide range of other means, a group of people who might be regarded as carbon copies of Mr. Jonathan King have come among us today to proclaim what in their astonishing conceit they regard as a new truth — that war is horrible and that the disagreements between man and man would be better solved by discussion than by the use of arms.

To back up their proclamations, they make use of a vast paraphanalia of emotive phraseology and illustration which serves not only to popularise their theories but furthermore to dramatise themselves as representatives of a new high priesthood of enlightenment and superior values which has descended on this world just in time to rescue it from the legacy of centuries of darkness and ignorance. The seriousness with which these people take themselves as latter-day Christs is perhaps heightened by the lengths to which many of them go to cultivate a Christ-like appearance.

One always gets the feeling that when confronted by such people and their type of propaganda the war veteran himself is at something of a disadvantage. It is well nigh impossible to take part in an event of world-historical importance, and to be marked in memory by all the blood and hardship of that event, then to subsequently think and talk about it with dispassion and impartiality. Like the veterans who wrote back to Mr. King, such people, because of what they have gone through, belong almost to a different world to the likes of him. There is a gap there separating two utterly different sets of experiences.

In contrast, I look at these issues as one who, like Jonathan King, belongs to that generation too young to have fought in the last war. I was brought up in the same kind of world as him and his like, subjected to the same patterns of education and surrounded by the same ideological influences. I can talk about war and peace without being accused of having a personal axe to grind.

I observe in the pacifist, not something modern and new, but a species of life as old as this planet. From the first time that man acquired the power to venture into fields of thought beyond the bare matters of his own domestic existence there have been those whose imagination has woven pretty pictures of what the world would be like if man were not as he is but as they in their dreams would like him to be. This man of dreams, this abstraction that never has existed, has been the criterion for every ideal advanced by the dreamers and world reformers over the centuries. If only man was free of criminality, there would be no need for punishment. If only man was equal to his neighbour, there would be no envy. If only man was not covetous, there would be no competition for the fruits of the earth and everything could be shared. If only all men were lovable, there would be no hate. And of course — if all men were reasonable and shared common interests, there would always be peace!

Even the virile culture of Ancient Greece threw up its share of these abstract theorists. They were again rife in the intellectual life of Britain and France in the 17th, 18th and 19th Centuries, creating a masonry of ideas which in their characteristic arrogance they termed "The Enlightenment". The ideas were as old as ever, but as ever they were labelled "new", and depicted as thoughts which had been beyond the capacities of all the finest minds of former ages.

We know this type today under the term 'liberal', and we know pacifism to be an essential cornerstone of his outlook. What goes to make the psychology of the pacifist?

PSYCHOLOGY OF PACIFISM

Some would attribute pacifism to sheer physical cowardice — to a man not having the guts to fight, and trying to elevate his gutlessness into a kind of superior moral doctrine. I have no doubt that pacifists draw recruits from this quarter, but the whole explanation is oversimplified. Pacifists often maintain that it sometimes takes more practical courage for a man to refuse to fight than to go into the front line. In certain circumstances this is true, and we must look for deeper reasons than mere cold feet to explain the hold that the pacifist

philosophy has on certain people.

I am convinced that a much stronger factor than cowardice is the factor of pure egotism. In the heart of the pacifist egotist there is that voice saying contemptuously to his fellows: "I am of a higher order than you—I am above killing. You, like the peasants you are, believe in settling differences by force. I, as a sophisticate and a profoundly moral beling, believe in doing so by means of reason."

To try to explain to the pacifist that in a war there is going to be killing, whether he condescends to take part in it or not, is to miss the entire point. Because of his egotism he is incapable of seeing himself as he really is—as no more than a small worm in a vast holocaust; to him the world revolves around himself, and his gesture of refusing to do battle carries with it, in his eyes, the authority of God.

This intellectual and moral conceit which is the pacifist's armour can prove stronger than all the odium that he may encounter from those ordinary beings whom he despises. It enforces him continually in his travels among an unappreciative humanity.

NO BASIS

What the pacifist simply will not recognise is that the intellectual and moral basis upon which he defends his position is utterly untenable when examined in the light of history. Every amenity that he is able to enjoy — right down to the very food by which he keeps himself alive — is the product, somewhere or other down the line, of someone's preparedness to fight for something. Every day we eat bread made from wheat grown in lands that have been won for our civilisation by the power of the gun. So with the raw materials that go to make the manufactured goods by which our lives are made easier. Indeed the very democratic institutions under which we live and which protect the rights of pacifists to speak and behave the way they do were in the first place won by means of force and have been subsequently defended by means of force.

If the pacifist is going to be absolutely sincere in renouncing the element of war and armed struggle in the making of the world, his only proper course is to leave the world, or at least to go and live on a desert island, where none of the hard-won benefits of modern civilisation exist to remind him of what he owes to man's struggle against man. Few pacifists care to do this. On the contrary, pacifists are in my experience the kind of people most jealous of the comforts of the civilisation for which their forbears have battled.

HYPOCRISY

This hypocrisy that underlines the pacifist outlook can be seen in other ways, in particular in the selectivity with which the pacifist conscience operates. It is a fact beyond serious doubt that most pacifists today incline to the Left. Needless to say, they are not anxious to support any war against socialist or communist countries, however justified on ethical or practical grounds. This pacifism quickly disappears, however, if the enemy is on the Right. Then grounds of morality and conscience can suddenly be found overnight to justify the very same armed force which, the day before, was without grounds of morality and conscience. Before the war it was fascism. Today it is things such as Apartheid. Where these bogeys are concerned, the pacifist conscience is capable of the most extraordinary gymnastics. In fact it can evaporate into thin air! So it was with Herbert Morrison, for instance. This highly principled gentleman refrained from participating in World War I on grounds of conscientious objection. While young men his age were dying in France he stayed at home. When World War II arrived, however, his face was to be seen on billboards all over the country exorting the population to "go to it!" Mr. Morrison's noble pacifist principles had done a disappearing act. It was of course convenient for him and many others like him that when the time came for them to sacrifice their dearly held pacifist beliefs they were no longer of military age!

AGAINST HEROISM

Some pacifists—and Mr. King is one of them—are at least honest enough to admit that they are pleased not to be living today in a Hitler state — pleased and grateful. But, say they, even if the pacifist conscience has at times to sanction killing (of fascists, racists and anti-communists, that is) war exploits should nevertheless not be anything to be proud of. They should not be "a subject for glorification".

How then, Mr. King, would you propose that we fight a war? No doubt you would have us regard it as a kind of pest-control operation—to be carried out clinically and efficiently but without any element of esprit de corps, emotion or pride. One can just see in one's mind's eye Mr. Jonathan King and a bunch of his college campus disciples addressing a group of young Battle of Britain fighter pilots before they take off on a sortie. "Now chaps, remember this, whatever you may do today, it's nothing to be proud of. Your exploits in the air—under whatever conditions and against whatever odds they may be performed—don't make you any better people than us who remain on our backsides here on the ground. You are not to feel any pride or devotion to your squadron; it's just the same as any other squadron. Neither are you to imagine that you are any better than the enemy. There's absolutely no valid reason for believing that to be so. Go up there and risk your necks for us, but when you get back victorious, don't feel in any way pleased with yourselves. Your actions are no more a contribution to history than the sit-downs we plan in Trafalgar Square when you've done the job."

It is interesting to speculate on what the reactions of the young pilots would have been, and it is perhaps even more interesting to speculate on what would have been the course of the war had such a spirit prevailed!

PROTEST AGAINST NATURE

Basically, the protest of Mr. King and his kind is much more than just a protest against military heroism; it is in the wider sense a protest against human nature itself. For as long as nature had placed red blood in the veins of men, it has been the instinct of men to fight for the things they believe are right and to be proud of their victories in the fight. Any society which turns to opposite values, and comes to look with superior contempt on the pillars of human toil and sacrifice on which it has been kept intact, is a society plunged into decadence.

War has been with us for millions of years now and it is the height of self-deception to imagine that in the future it is going to disappear. An adult society recognises this fact without rejoicing in it, and sees to it that when war comes the population is physically and psychologically capable of facing it as a reality. It is the rantings of infantile minds such as Mr. King, and their succulent lure to many young innocents in this country, that do so much to isolate us from this reality and to undermine our will as a nation to survive on this tough planet.

Labour, Liberal, Tory or NATIONAL FRONT?

IF the British people decide that a political party does not represent the ideals in which they believe, there is a simple remedy: vote for another party. There is not a party politician in Britain today who has not explained that great principle of British democracy.

It is good that such a principle should be the mainstay of British democracy, for a party which pursues policies unacceptable to the people will be voted down. On this basis people should be able to vote for a party which puts their wishes first. In most places there is a choice of three parties: Labour, Liberal or Conservative, and all these parties make a great deal of noise about the great differences which exist between them.

If there are such differences, why is it that on almost every major issue in British politics for many years the people have had no choice at all?

The fact is that all the three leading parties represent exactly the same fundamental policies and differ only in trivialities. They are merely branches of the same political movement, but because they adopt three different names and run three different organisations they have managed to fool the nation that they really ARE different and represent a genuine choice.

The truth of this observation can be judged by an examination of where the parties stand on the great political issues of the day. *Spearhead* has taken these issues and set out first the attitude of the majority of the British people, secondly the policies of the Labour, Liberal and Conservative Parties, and finally the policy of the National Front.

Readers can decide for themselves who speaks for Britain.

WHO SPEA

Multi-racialism

OF the 80 per-cent of the British people who are totally opposed to coloured immigration, a large majority are also opposed to the whole concept of a multi-racial Britain.

Labour instigated the multi-racial state with its 1948 British Nationality Act, which gave 600 million people British citizenship. Under this Labour Government the dictatorial Race Relations Acts were passed in 1965 and 1968. Labour was, admittedly, the only party to limit immigration — to 70,000 a year. However, the recent recommendations of the Select Committee on Race Relations and Immigration are likely to be made Labour policy, and this will result in unrestricted immigration and further strong-arm methods to force integration.

Liberals have always maintained that immigration should be continued and that the multi-racial state should be consolidated by whatever means necessary. Liberals not only support the Race Relations Acts; they believe these acts should be strengthened.

In the 13 years of **Tory** rule, 1,500,000 immigrants were not merely allowed to come here but actually encouraged. It was not until 1962 that the Tories were forced to act against immigration by an unprecedented wave of feeling in the country. Their Commonwealth Immigration Act was claimed to restrict the flow, but in effect did little. Tory policy today still supports the flood of immigrants' 'dependents' into Britain, and advocates a multi-racial society. Tories in the overwhelming majority voted for the Race Relations Acts.

The National Front holds that for major racial, economic, social and national reasons, multi-racialism is wrong and unacceptable to the people. Therefore, further immigration must be stopped, the Race Relations Acts repealed, and immigrants repatriated to their countries of origin in a fair and humane fashion and with some practical measures of aid.

Permissive Society

PERHAPS the most noticable sympto of our national degeneracy is the 'pe missive society'. The upsurge of drug add iction, legalisation of abortion and homo sexuality, pornography and the commercial isation of sex are some of the symptom The permissive society is not, however society which the majority of the Britis people have created or willed, and has n gained acceptance in Britain. It is a societ which has been encouraged by the politica establishment for the benefit of profitee and degenerates.

As such it has been the product o **Labour Liberal** and **Tory** parties, all o whom have either confirmed their suppo of it or been mute in their opposition to it.

Regeneration within is a basic tenet o Nationalism, and the **National Fron** believes in making Britain a land for dece people — not homosexuals, drug-addic and degenerates — to live in.

Law an

SOARING crime rates, obstruction o political riots and general kid-glove aroused anger throughout the country. A the people support the return of capital pu

All three parties have pursued th liberalisation of the penal system, and majority of M. P. s of all parties voted f the abolition of capital punishment in 196

The National Front advocates toug

KS FOR BRITAIN?

Rhodesia and South Africa

THE British people have no axe to grind against their kinsmen in Rhodesia and South Africa. No national feeling obliged the Government of the day to expel South Africa from the Commonwealth and to damage trading relations with her, or to engineer a crisis with Rhodesia resulting in a costly and senseless sanctions campaign.

The hate campaign against white civilisation in Southern Africa ripened in 1961 under the **Tories**, when South Africa was ousted from the Commonwealth. Despite assurances by leading Tories of support for Rhodesia, the Tory Party has given wholehearted backing to the sanctions campaign.

Labour started sanctions against Rhodesia, seriously restricted trade with South Africa and vows eternal enmity against the whites in those countries.

The **Liberals** are the most extreme, and have called for military intervention in Rhodesia and a fight against racialism in South Africa.

The **National Front** promises to give "unremitting support to British and other European communities overseas in their maintenance of civilisation in lands threatened with a reversion to barbarism".

d Order

of the police and prison authorities, left-wing treatment of crooks, thugs and murderers have public opinion poll showed that 80 per-cent of nishment.

measues to combat crime and lawlessness, and firm support for the police and prison authorities. The growing murder rate merits the return of capital punishment.

Third Force

FOR every Briton who favours British entry into the Common Market, two say "Keep out". Opinion polls have asked the people where Britain should seek alliances, and the Commonwealth was most favoured. Because previous polls have proved that the Coloured Commonwealth enjoys little popularity in Britain, it is obvious that people mean the White Commonwealth.

All three parties are united in their support for British entry into Europe, the only qualification expressed being that we must still maintain the Coloured Commonwealth. Labour, Liberal and Tory parties have for 20 years pursued a policy of estrangement from the White Commonwealth.

The first and most important objective of **National Front** policy is the replacement of the Coloured Commonwealth with a modern British world system embracing the White Commonwealth. To quote from the NF statement of policy, this world system, "while ensuring the sovereign independence of each nation, would work for the closest cooperation between the United Kingdom, Australia, New Zealand, Canada and Rhodesia, and in which, if they so desired, the Republics of South Africa and Eire would each occupy an honoured place." The National Front opposes British entry into the Common Market.

Internationalism

NORMAL people think of putting their country first instead of involving themselves with the problems of the whole world.

All three parties are committed to the staggering idea that Britain will eventually cease to be a nation and become a province in a One-World State. All the three parties back the United Nations as a step towards this objective.

The **National Front** is a nationalist—as opposed to internationalist—movement, and believes that Britain should preserve her nationhood and independence. It believes in entry into international agreements only where British interests are put as priority.

Overseas Aid

WHILE financial crisis, hardship and depressed areas exist in Britain, most people regard overseas aid as insane—particularly in view of the misuse of that aid and the insults to which Britain has been subjected in supplying it.

Under the **Labour** Government, Britain is one of the biggest sources of money for 'under-developed' countries and £227 million will be given away to such countries this year. In spite of deflation at home, Labour has increased overseas aid and promises that the figure will be much higher when economic difficulties are over.

Liberals state quite blandly that overseas aid is not enough and must be increased.

In addition to aid by the British Government, **Tories** suggest that aid should be increased by getting British industry to 'invest' in the underdeveloped countries.

Point 11 of **National Front** policy pledges: "to review financial 'overseas aid' and other gifts by Britain where there is neither strategic nor political compensation".

National Front
PUTS BRITAIN FIRST

Find out more about Britain's new party. Complete this coupon and send to: *The Secretary, National Front, 11 Palace Chambers, Bridge Street, London S.W.1.*

Name____________________

Address____________________

MICHAEL LOBB

From Bishop to Queen's Pawn

INCREASINGLY, attitudes which are to be associated with left-wing political notions are showing themselves within the framework of the various Christian churches.

More astute promotors of leftist ideologies are not unfamiliar with the discoveries of modern psychological research. They understand that the antics and the outlandish dress and unkempt appearance of many members of the 'New Left' fringe groups serve only to alienate ordinary people. Public opinion, apart from being notoriously fickle, tends to be based upon 'image' and other superficial considerations. The best vehicles to convey extreme ideas are well established, generally accepted and conventional institutions.

In examining a phenomenon such as this, it is necessary to clearly distinguish 'form' and 'content'. The best method of subverting any organisation has always been to simultaneously appear to preserve and defend its outward forms whilst actually destroying its basic essence from within.

A virus infiltrates a cell in an animal body and proceeds to use the material resources of that cell to create more of the same virus. When this process is completed the outer membrane of the cell bursts, and the much multiplied virus elements are released into the system to begin the whole process again.

When Christianity first came to these islands, the promoters of that faith encountered a religion well established in custom and tradition. The people were deeply attached to their ancient folk ways, and in the recognition that it would be virtually impossible to uproot such long settled traditions, the Christian proselytisers, whilst insisting on the basic content of the Christian faith, adapted the ingrained folk customs to their own religion.

Understanding how the process of subversion can take place, it is imperative to oppose vigorously every outbreak of virulent leftism within the British church system. The fashionable so-called 'social gospel' is one example of an alien infiltration of socialistic ideas. Instead of the concept of personal redemption and of personal responsibility for one's actions, all individual shortcomings are conceived as being the result of imperfections in society. Thus the involvement of 'progressive' churchmen in political controversies can be understood, as can be the Church's endorsement of left-wing views on issues like homosexuality, race and immigration, national defence, marxism and foreign aid.

In combatting the manifestations of socialistic attitudes that now take place within the Church, we must be conscious of our own public relations and make it clear that we are not attacking church institutions or traditional Christianity as a doctrine.

Nationalists have no religious axes to grind, but do have a just quarrel with all left-wing infiltrators, be they in Church or State.

EDDY MORRISON

SUB-CULTURE

"YEAH! The collapse of our culture continues apace." This jubilant quote is from a recent issue of *IT* (*International Times*) and summarises perhaps the whole purpose of the New Left culture.

The gradual distortion and replacement of the British way of life and of European culture knows no bounds. The first channel

> EDDY MORRISON, 19, is leader of the rapidly growing Leeds Branch of the National Front and is one of the NF's youngest organisers.

of attack has been music. Into a society already soaked with their own brand of 'pop' music the new 'culture-bearers' have now introduced the cult of Indian music and, more lately, electronic music. The soothing melodies of Johann Strauss have now been replaced by the discordant cacophany of the Sitar. Folk music, always a healthy part of a nation's culture, has been deliberately twisted with the result that as 'folk-music' we are now served the hash of Bob (Zimmerman) Dylan, whose mournful pleas about peace, poverty and pot are now bringing him in millions.

Poetry, once used by Shakespeare and Kipling to stir a Briton's heart, now consists of repetitive, boring diatribes about the Bomb or Vietnam. Poetry "to blow your mind" is the current vogue. There is no attempt to use the descriptive beauty of the English language; the whole thing consists of mindless jangles interspersed with obscenities.

Literature is probably the art that has come under the heaviest attack. Pick up any sub-culture newspaper and you will see the most nauseating collection of pictures, drawings and articles, the content of some being almost beyond belief. *Oz, Black Dwarf, It, Gardolf's Garden,* and a few others that appear at less regular intervals are the most popular. Drugs, homosexuality, free love, race-mixing—all are made to appear great and harmless. Many readers may have enjoyed Tolkein's tremendous work 'Lord of the Rings', an heroic fantasy with a basis of Nordic-Celtic mythology. The whole concept of Tolkein's book has been twisted and reinterpreted by Gardolf's Garden, and now appears with a pacifist slant. The constant use of obscenities by the sub-culture papers reminds one of the schoolchildren who write dirty words on toilet walls.

A healthy culture based on race, tradition and mature taste is a sure sign of a healthy nation. I believe that a National Front government, on being elected to power, should encourage the rebirth of real culture, at the same time stopping the rot of sub-culture. But here are a few suggestions to nationalists as to what to do right now:-

1) Scan through the sub-culture magazines and look out for obscene or libellous comment. If you find it, report it to the Police.

2) Collect information about 'New Left' groups from these magazines. A full understanding must be gained of our enemy.

3) Write letters to the paper complaining about sub-culture trash.

4) Tell your friends who agree with you on these things to join the National Front.

W. HESMONDHALGH

Animals live in Hell

—while factory farmers get rich quick

MOST of the factory farming systems practised in this country originated in the U.S.A. and were introduced here in 1925. Our present decade has seen this system become big business.

The largest part of factory farming is concerned with the various systems of egg and poultry production. The birds spend most of their lives in large intensive houses, the one exception being that the pullets for the laying cages are allowed access to grass for about 14 weeks, allegedly to build up stamina. Then they are installed in their wire-floored laying cages until killed 15 months later.

The birds can be beaked; they can be and often are 'dubbed', i.e. their comb and wattles removed surgically; they may be provided with spectacles to obscure

Decency

British farmer puts healthy herd to graze



vision. Under such conditions vices and diseases become serious problems. The birds are mass-medicated — provided with growth-stimulating 'food' possessing high concentrations of antibiotics and disease inhibiting drugs. Yet if an epidemic struck it would wipe out the unit.

When we think of battery houses, we usually think of 20,000 birds or so, but Jack Eastwood of Nottinghamshire is proposing a unit of 1,000,000 birds.

Veal-calf production is of considerable size. 6,500 calves are exported per week to Belgium alone. They are shut up in pens measuring 5 x 2 feet — insufficient to lie down comfortably. They are deprived of light. They may be tethered by the neck. They never have a correct diet. After 4 months they are slaughtered. The housing required to accomodate a viable enterprise in this field is large. Recently an application to extend a plant in Somerset met with considerable opposition from a number of organisations.

Pigs are equally subject to these processes. The sow is removed from her piglets after 4 days and returned to the boar for further mating. The piglets are intensively reared, about 20 animals to an 11 x 6 foot pen — referred to as a 'sweat box'. These are poorly ventilated and only dimly lit so as to prohibit undue movement, only allowing the animal sufficient light and room to eat, lie down and put on fat.

This system is being promoted on the false premise that our country cannot afford to be without it. But it has been proved that animal production can be achieved

Cruelty

Money-grabber locks cattle in filthy boxes



without such intensive methods, the animals often producing better where such intensive systems are not employed. It has been stated by experts that many of the disease inhibiting substances and feeding stuffs provided are suspected of causing various human complaints, including cancer.

Eminent economists and conservationists have studied this subject in detail. They state unequivocally that this system is not the answer to future food requirements. It would take an infinite acreage of arable land (producing mainly cereals) to provide initial substance for these intensive systems, producing negligible returns. For instance, 80 per-cent of the feeding stuffs would be required to produce 20 per-cent of animal produce, i.e. meat, veal or eggs. This in the long term would prove totally impractical.

The Bramble Committee reported to the Government three years ago: "An animal should at least have sufficient freedom of movement to be able without difficulty to turn round, groom itself, get up, lie down and stretch its limbs." But even these minimum requirements have not been implemented.

In spite of its needless cruelty, factory farming is as entrenched as ever. If this cruelty were inflicted on the feline or the canine fraternity, the liberals and progressives and their press would ring out. It is therefore up to our readers to register their views against such an inhuman and futile system, under which, whilst the reputable farmer is being driven out of his livelihood, the factory farmer waxes prosperous — on the taxpayer's subsidies.

All patriots should read

CANDOUR

The British Views-Letter

Edited by A.K. Chesterton

Which supports the

NATIONAL FRONT

Published by the Candour Publishing Co., 11 Palace Chambers, Bridge Street, London, S.W.1

"The New Unhappy Lords"

An exposure of power politics

By A. K. CHESTERTON

Cloth-Bound Edition	25/-
Paper-back Edition	12/6

THE NEW UNHAPPY LORDS is a must for the bookshelf of any student of modern politics. It represents the most lucid and startling of all commentaries on the methods of subversion being used to undermine Britain

(from Nationalist Book Centre)

SIR: No self-respecting human being, white or black, would disagree with Peter McMenemie's article on Sex and Race in the September Spearhead.

Calvin Hernton is not the only Negro doctor to attack inter-racial sex, however. Dr. Thomas Brayboy, a well known American Negro psychiatrist, says in a study of the subject: "Whites and Negroes who marry are merely expressing irrational guilt, contempt and revenge against society." He claims that love and tenderness have nothing to do with the relationship and that most mixed couples act out of neurotic complexes.

FRANK GRESTY
South Shields

SIR: John Bean is right about America in Vietnam. This war has exploded much of the myth about U.S. super-power. Whatever a country's strength on paper, it is its practical fighting capacity that counts. We have seen on the American side whole units mutinying, wholesale corruption in the military administration and an enormous red fifth column at home paralysing the will of the nation's leaders to carry on the war. Yet this is the colossus to which the entire non-communist world, including Britain, is to look for its defence. The idea that Britain should strive to become self-sufficient in all modern means of defence is not just nostalgic romance, it is up to date realism.

J.A. TRIPP
Hull

SIR: You deal with the foreign influence in British commerce but you make no mention of one of its most important symptoms — the pornography trade, especially pornography under the guise of 'sex-education'. This cult grew up in Central Europe in the Twenties and Thirties, then was brought over here by immigrants whom we took in as refugees. More fools us!

T. SIMPSON
Reading

SIR: I agree wholeheartedly that the White Commonwealth is the field to which we must turn in the future. It is interesting to note in this connection that the apologists for the Common Market are always telling us that we cannot rely on the support of the Dominions any longer. But to what extent are we getting the support of the Market? The plain fact is that the countries of the 'Six' will not let us in. The Marketeers close their eyes to this fact and prefer to live in dreamland, yet they refer to as "dreamland" the much more realistic proposition that countries of British heritage unite forces and develop an area of far greater potential to mutual advantage.

To build an economic union in the Commonwealth will not necessarily be an easy task, and it is true that there are factions in the Commonwealth which would oppose us. But this is a door that we can at least try to open. The door to Europe is closed, and everybody in Britain is coming to realise it is closed — except a small enclave of fanatical internationalists.

M. BRIDGER
Manchester

SIR: I was interested in your leader in What We Think last month dealing with the lack of firmness of the Tory leadership on the Common Market. As you rightly say, these men are trying to do a rather feeble balancing act between two sections of opinion.

Typical of this is the statement of Mr. Heath that the Tories are committed only to enter into negotiations on Europe, not to join. "We will see what the position is when we negotiate," he says, "and we will only join if there are adequate safeguards."

This term "adequate safeguards" has become a politician's stock phrase. Just what does it mean? The basic conditions of entry into the Market have been known for years and are not subject to negotiation. It is in them that "safeguards", if any, are to be sought. If our politicians are still in doubt as to whether these safeguards will be forthcoming, they clearly have not given five-minutes study to the conditions, and on those grounds are utterly unfit for office.

R. LAWSON
Rye, Sussex

Letter of the Month

Spearhead publishes the best letter to the press on National Front policy every month. Send your cutting to us not later than the 15th. of the month. You could win a £1 Nationalist Books voucher. This month's winner (below) was published in the *Brentwood Review*

MR. HUME'S statement (Letters, last week) concerning the author of A Racial Programme for the Twentieth Century is merely splitting hairs. The author, Israel Cohen, was a British citizen and a Communist.

There were plenty of Communists in Britain, including Marx himself, long before the setting up of the British Communist Party.

The economic policies of the National Front are more radical and constructive than those of any other party, and include monetary reforms which will restore the issue and control of the nation's currency to the Crown.

For the real cause of our financial difficulties, and of poverty and unemployment, is a monetary system based upon usury and manipulated by private individuals for power and profit and not, as Marx says, caused by the ownership of private property and the means of production.

The practice of usury over many years drives small firms out of business. They are taken over by monopolies and combines which grow larger and larger and the real wealth of the nation in land, property and the means of production becomes concentrated in a few hands.

The result of this system, if continued to its logical end, would be one gigantic monopoly which owned everything.

That is precisely the aim of Communism, and is not a coincidence, because Socialism is the tool and creation of international finance-capitalism.

A Communist state is the ultimate triumph of usury. It is a state in which the entire wealth and resources of the nation have been taken from the people and are owned and controlled by the state in the name of the people; but in fact, the people own and control nothing except their few personal posessions.

They are twentieth century serfs, in complete subjection to their master the State. No Communist regime has ever been freely elected, or dislodged once established.

BARBARA MANNING
Havering and Brentwood Branch Organiser. National Front, Archibald-road, Harold Wood.

MARTIN WEBSTER Trouble shooting

EXCHANGE OR ROBBERY?

"The Russians have an advantage over us, you know, because we always consider PEOPLE first." This was how the Labour Government tried to explain away its incredible decision to release the communist spies Peter and Helen Kroger. One wonders which "people" our Socialist masters were considering? Perhaps the Krogers? Certainly not the British people.

Consider the facts. In return for the Krogers the Russians released Gerald Brooke, a man found guilty of conveying anti-communist propaganda tracts behind the Iron Curtain. He was not a spy, just an incompetent courier for a cranky and thoroughly infiltrated Russian emigre organisation. It is on the cards that the K. G. B. infiltrators in this organisation set Brooke up from the very start.

The Krogers, on the other hand, apart from being dedicated communists, were master spies. They were deeply involved in the American atom secrets spy ring organised by the Rosenbergs When America became too hot for them they came to Britain and linked up with another super spy known as Gordon Lonsdale. Newspaper reports reveal that Lonsdale's real name is Molody, the Krogers' real name is Cohen, and that they were all born in Poland

One of the greatest coups achieved by this gang was to relieve the Portland Admiralty research station of its underwater warfare secrets. Thanks to them, the Russians are now able to pinpoint all of Britain's and America's Polaris submarines. Polaris is currently supposed to be the most important part of NATO's deterrent.

Espionage experts believe that the Krogers were sending to Russia information other than that gained at Portland. When their home was raided, Police found a high powered radio fitted with a sophisticated device capable of transmitting large amounts of data in a matter of a few seconds. In addition, a very large amount of high denomination paper money was found under their floor boards—much more than was needed to cover the relatively paltry sums paid to the Portland traitors.

As the Krogers flew out of Britain, the Special Branch officer responsible for their arrest stated bitterly: "At no time did they reveal to us who their other contacts were".

To add insult to injury, the day after the Krogers were set free the Russians released two British hippies who had been caught smuggling hashish. It appears that the Foreign Office negotiated the freedom of these two freaks—who should have been shot anyway—as part of the Kroger/Brooke exchange. The Russians must be laughing their bloody heads off!

OBITUARY

I wonder if the group of reactionaries on the fringe of the Worthing Branch of the National Front still believe that the Monday Club can "save the soul of the Conservative Party" and that the Club is led by "staunch patriots"?

I have no doubt that when the Monday Club was first formed it was a genuinely radical group independent from the pressures of Tory Central Office. But the cute operators of Central Office have neutralised the Monday Club by flooding its membership with 'reliable' Tories who were able to pack its committee with Central Office nominees. If Central Office had anything to fear from the Monday Club, it would not tolerate the distribution of Monday Club literature through Conservative Political Centre channels.

Far from being radical, Monday Club officials have regularly been quoted in left-wing papers as saying: "We are not extremists, you know. If we catch any National Front members in the movement we expel them. We have expelled several Front members already."

The true purpose and nature of the Monday Club became clear when Mr. Geoffrey Rippon, M.P., the Club's most important official, rushed down to Surbiton recently to try and prevent rank-and-file Monday Club supporters within the local Conservative Association from withdrawing official support from Surbiton's Conservative M.P., Mr. Nigel Fisher. Mr. Fisher is a craven leftist, supporting every internationalist and multi-racialist cause going. The Surbiton Liberal Association has offered to adopt him as its candidate if he is rejected by the local Tories!

Speaking like the Judas Goat that he is, Mr. Rippon told Surbiton Tories: "Mr. Fisher deserves an overwhelming vote of confidence. There is no question of a Monday Club plan to unseat Mr. Fisher."

Mr. Rippon is presently a member of the Conservative Party Shadow Cabinet and has high hopes of a top ministerial job if his party is returned to power.

Monday Club, R.I.P.

BLACK POWER v GREEN BERETS

The practice of eliminating enemy spies is an accepted part of counter-espionage work. One may wonder, therefore, why the liberal press has engaged in such a vendetta against the Green Berets—America's elite force in Vietnam—since it became known that they had "terminated" the activities of a Viet Cong double agent.

The motivation for the press smear campaign is not hard to find. An important part of the Green Berets' function in Vietnam is the hunting down of bands of American negro soldiery who desert their units in order to fight with the communist Viet Cong. Negro desertions in Vietnam average ten a day.

These deserters have become a real problem, for they are being used by the communists to misdirect American ground and air barrages by means of 'pirate' radio transmissions. There are so many traitors in the Da Nang area that the Viet Cong has been able to organise a 'Black Power' unit which regularly holds parades for the benefit of the Vietnamese peasantry.

The Green Berets have met with considerable success on their traitor hunting trips—hence the rage of liberal fifth columnists. The liberals dare not state the real reason for this hatred of the Green Berets, for if they did so they would prejudice the image of the negro which they are trying to foist on the American public.

NF in action

250 on big SOUTHALL march, called for immigration ban, receive staggering local support...... 15 members monopolise question time at CROYDON community relations meeting...... Tories fail to support NF Government on hanging debate at WOOLWICH Model Parliament...... Tariq Ali backs down from debate with John Tyndall at LEICESTER...... more backing down at BIRMINGHAM University, where Michael Lobb was billed to speak...... 30 students and townspeople form new branch at OXFORD...... NF activist groups formed at BIDEFORD, Devon, and HARPENDEN, Herts...... 40 members attended LIVERPOOL meeting addressed by Martin Webster ...... combined force of 30 NF and London & Counties Tenants' Federation members interrupted immigration debate of LAMBETH Borough Council...... South Herts Branch heckled Communist boss John Gollan at STEVENAGE...... BARKING branch gave same treatment to Humphrey Berkely...... North London members conducted selective questioning campaign at ISLINGTON North by-election meetings...... Wandsworth branch heckled United Nations Association meeting at PUTNEY...... another U. N. A. meeting heckled at ELTHAM...... 20 COLCHESTER members demonstrated at ESSEX UNIVERSITY at conference on overseas aid, then held picket parade ending with well attended public meeting...... PECKHAM and CAMBERWELL branch formed...... after asking Enoch Powell uncomfortable questions, PORTSMOUTH branch members foiled attack by leftists ...... Denis Pirie addressed CHINGFORD Young Tories...... new social club for NF members called Trident Club opens in LONDON...... the nationally organised Anti-Communist League joins NF.

"LIBERATION ARMY"

Flags flying, drums beating, 250 NF activists march through Southa Britain's worst hit immigration area and were greeted, in the word of one Southall resident, "like a liberation army".

Thuggery

A SAVAGE attack on three National Front members took place outside the Friars Hall, Blackfriars, South London, on Thursday October 30th.

The three men were waiting to enter the hall, where a debate was due to take place between the NF and the Independent Labour Party.

Without warning, a gang of more than 40 men charged across the road. They punched the NF members to the ground and then systematically kicked them bloody.

The attackers were identified by Special Branch officers as supporters of the Jewish quasi-military '62 Group, bolstered up by members of Mao-communist organisations. Three of them were arrested and charged with causing actual bodily harm and aggravated assault.

Two of the NF men — Martin Webster, Activities Organiser, and Patrick Addis, Wandsworth Branch — needed medical treatment, Addis having to have stitches to sow up a gash above his left eye.

The I. L. P. was not implicated in the attack. Several of its members were assaulted during the incident, and its officials have been threatened with maiming if they dare to give evidence at forthcoming prosecutions.

TRIDENT CLUB

The social centre for all NF members

Every Friday 7 - 11 p.m.

GREET OLD FRIENDS!
MEET NEW ACQUAINTANCES!

Besides the weekly social evenings the club organises dances, day excursions, theatre outings, etc. Send applications for membership to Robert Corfe, c/o H.Q.

NATIONALIST BOOK CENTRE

10 Birkbeck Hill London SE21

Send for our free catalogue now

BUY BULK!

Help to spread SPEARHEAD by buying supplies for bulk distribution at special wholesale rate of 8d. per copy for all quantities of 50 or over.

Left Backs Down

IN the middle of September *Spearhead* Editor John Tyndall was invited to take part in a debate organised by students at Leicester University. The motion was "that this house believes that socialist internationalism is a necessary and endemic policy for the Twentieth Century". Proposing the motion was extreme left-wing agitator and rioter Tariq Ali. Mr. Tyndall was invited to oppose. The debate was arranged for the 16th of October.

On October 15th we were informed that the illustrious Mr. Ali, after having had a month to think about the matter, had decided against facing Mr. Tyndall on the platform and had withdrawn from the debate. With little more than 24 hours to go, the Debating Society Chairman tried to find another representative of the University's left-wing to fill the gap. No-one was prepared to step forward. There was by then no time to find a speaker from outside.

On the day of the scheduled debate, just as Mr. Tyndall was about to leave for Leicester, he was telephoned and told it was off, since no member of the Left would debate with him. He suggested that, if no-one could be found with sufficient confidence in the motion to speak on its behalf, then at least he should be allowed to come and speak against it, as originally planned. The University replied that they had been informed by the Left that, if Mr. Tyndall so much as appeared, they would smash the meeting up. Mr. Tyndall said that he was prepared to take this risk. The University was not. The meeting was called off.

* * *

THE same week as the Leicester fiasco another debate had been arranged at Birmingham University by the United Nations Students Association, at which Michael Lobb had been invited to speak on behalf of the National Front.

Shortly before the day of the debate a barrage of threats came forth from the students' Socialist Society. The invitation to Mr. Lobb was promptly withdrawn.

Speaking of the affair, the secretary of the UNSA branch of the University, said: "After a committee meeting we decided that this was the best step to take. But committee members feel very strongly that we cannot invite anyone we wish to speak."

Strongly — but not strongly enough.

Liberal Spy Manual

RECENTLY there came into our possesion an internal memorandum issued by the Liberal Party to its members instructing them on how to deal with the race problem.

The instructions make it clear that the main purpose is to use race problems as a means of propaganda and recruitment for the party. The Liberal is quite shamelessly told to be 'all things to all men', to appeal one moment to the 'progressive' Conservative, the next moment to the 'Fabian' Labour voter. Here is a quote from the memorandum under the heading 'Secondary Aims':-

"(a) Anti-Tory propaganda to pursuade the 'progressive' Conservative that his principles are not campatible with the present Conservative Party.

"(b) Anti-Labour Party propaganda to try to obtain a response from the 'Fabian' Labour voter. The middle-class intellectual element in the Labour Party is most sensitive to this issue and many hitherto prominent active workers resigned from the party or went passive after the Kenyan Asian episode last year."

The message here is clear — bait the negrophiles of both major parties!

The same procedure is to be used in baiting immigrants themselves. Further on in the memorandum under the heading "Suggestions for Action" it says:-

"(iv) Special selective canvass of immigrants based on names identified from electoral register.

"(v) Contact with all multi-racial associations in community, especially with a view to setting up a council of community relations if there is a need for one."

One can just see Liberals going about the canvassing job with all the gusto of a door-to-door commercial sales organisation. First, in consultation with the sales manager, the register is methodically perused. "Baker, Ball, Bannister...... BASONGA! Ah! He looks like a potential customer. Put him down on the list." Later that evening...... "Hallo! Mr. Basonga? I'm from Liberals Ltd. Allow me to explain to you our new, unique and exclusively patented policy for coloured immigrants. This policy has been proved by trials to be infinitely superior to those offered by Labour or Tories.........you're interested, Mr. Basonga? Splendid! Now here are the party forms...... "

In fact, this analogy is by no means as hilarious as it may seem. Peter Simple, the well known satirist of the Daily Telegraph, has coined the phrase "Race Relations Industry", which, he constantly reports, is one of the few industries in Britain that is booming under socialism. The same similarity to a commercial concern can be seen in the case of the urge to set up 'Community Relations' bodies wherever possible. Just like people in the petrol business who scour the land looking for stretches of road where a filling station might be opened, these people in the business of 'Race Relations' consider it an affront to their spirit of enterprise if there is a corner of Britain where their product is not marketed.

Perhaps the most amusing, if not sickening, feature of this Liberal document is the moral somersault that is performed at two points in it. As part of a righteous sermon on the deplorable record of the Conservative Party on race, the document says: "Mr. Powell speaks of a Ministry of Repatriation which could easily degenerate into a Gestapo." Then three pages later Liberals are urged to make........"Systematic examination of accomodation and job advertisements to ensure against racial discrimination. If cases of discrimination come to light, first try to persuade the person or firm to remove their colour bar. Failing this, refer the case to the local council of community relations where one exists. Otherwise refer it to the Race Relations Board, Stephen House, Victoria Embankment, London S. W. 1.

In all sanity, who are the closest exponents of the Gestapo — Mr. Powell or the Liberal Party?

Such documents as this are invaluable to us as exhibits of the true colours of the political hawkers who solicit your vote. If any of our readers come across any more of them, send them to us. The more they are exposed, the better.

JOHN BEAN

OUR INHERITED INEQUALITY

AS TO BE EXPECTED, the second Black Paper on our declining educational system came in for its full quota of abuse from the progressives of all parties. However, I confess to being rather surprised that it should have been described by some —obviously more progressive than others— as "the work of a fascist intellectual clique".

As the authors of the Black Paper, Prof. Arthur Pollard, who holds the Chair of English at Hull University, and Prof. Sir Cyril Burt, Emeritus Professor of Psychology, University College, London, had not been reported to me as being exactly active in 'fascist circles', I thought that the best thing was to have a browse through their work.

Prof. Pollard hits out at the ever-increasing trend in allowing 'free expression' or 'creativity' in our schools and says: "Heaven knows, most of the pupils have little enough to be creative about. They would be far better occupied with some good old fashioned teaching of grammar, spelling and punctuation."

A 'fascist' viewpoint, no doubt, to a Maoist or New Left anarchist, but hardly, yet, to our run-of-the-mill progressive. So I had a look to see what Prof. Sir Cyril Burt had to say. Yes, it was in fact he who had given the report a touch of the dreaded fascisms, for he had had the temerity to write of the **inherited** mental differences between children. He backed it up with well documented evidence to show that environment has little to do with intelligence. He stated that one of the most detailed of a long series of investigations into this problem of innate intelligence was recently published by Prof. Arthur R. Jensen of the University of California (already commented upon in the September issue of Spearhead).

Quoting Jensen, Prof. Burt said: "He concludes that for measurements obtained from intelligence-test scores, the best overall estimates suggest that the inheritable component amounts to about 80 percent. This agrees with a fairly elaborate investigation already carried out in London."

Although it is not commented upon in this educational report, readers will recall that Prof. Jensen's extensive work had revealed that due to the inheritance factor American negroes are on average 15 points below the I. Q. level of American whites.

Apart from the question of our declining educational system and of course immigration, much of what is wrong in Britain and the West generally is attributable to the false propaganda proclaiming the "inherent equality of man" which has been spouted forth with gathering momentum ever since the French Revolution. So effective has it been in the years following World War II that many people of good education and intelligence have blindly accepted it as a fact.

By all means let us be regarded as equal in the eyes of God and equal in the eyes of the law, but this should not mean that all human beings are equal in their capacities. Slowly, men of science are breaking through the barrier of distortion to show conclusively that we are **all** born, individuals as well as races, with different inherited intelligence levels which environment and education can modify only slightly.

This fact should be hammered into the mind of every politician as something to be considered before any social or political measure is taken.

In case I am accused of making a summer of inherited inequality out of these two swallows, other scientists whose work supports the view of intelligence being determined by inheritance and being different in various races, include among many others: Dr. William Shockly (U. S. Nobel Prize-winning physicist), Prof. Carleton S. Coon, Dr. A. M. Shuey, Prof. Ruggles Gates, Dr. Gayre of Gayre, Dr. S. D. Porteus, Prof. Wesley George, Prof. H. E. Garrett and, in my view after reading his latest book "The Evolution of Man and Society", Prof. C. D. Darlington, Britain's—if not the world's—greatest authority on genetics.

£150 MORE NEEDED

RECENTLY an appeal was made to our readers to contribute to a fund aimed to ensure monthly publication. The target was put at £800 a year for two years, and we asked for contributions of £25 a year and over. After this fund had risen to a figure of £500 and no further contributions were forthcoming, I made the decision to close it and go ahead with monthly publication, calculating that with increased sales we would be able to sustain it.

This calculation has proved over-optimistic.

Not only was there an underestimation of administrative expenses, which have risen greatly since we started to appear every month; there is a further factor which was not taken sufficiently into account. Printing bills have to be paid that much more quickly, often when only a small fraction of receipts for the issue in print have come in.

We can keep Spearhead going on the present basis if the figure for our development fund can be raised to £650 for two years. This means a further £150. To reach this we are now opening a **Pound Fund**. We ask all those who can to contribute £1 to keep us going. If only a fraction of our readers respond, we can raise the necessary £150.

Naturally, we will welcome contributions of more than £1 from those who can manage them, but we ask this figure as one which should not be unreasonable even to those of the most modest means.

You, our readers, have not let us down in the past. We are confident that you will respond generously to this call now. —*John Tyndall*

Printed and published by Albion Press, London S.E.21.